



World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development

Towards building project-stakeholder commitment: Case study - citizenship projects in Uganda

Sudi Nangoli Sheila Namagembe Joseph M. Ntayi Muhammad Ngoma

Article information:

To cite this document:

Sudi Nangoli Sheila Namagembe Joseph M. Ntayi Muhammad Ngoma, (2012), "Towards building project-stakeholder commitment", World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development, Vol. 8 Iss 4 pp. 233 - 245

Permanent link to this document:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/20425961211276615>

Downloaded on: 23 February 2015, At: 02:34 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 104 other documents.

To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 228 times since 2012*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

Lynda Bourne, Derek H.T. Walker, (2008), "Project relationship management and the Stakeholder Circle™", International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, Vol. 1 Iss 1 pp. 125-130 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/17538370810846450>

Gilbert Lenssen, David Bevan, Joan Fontrodona, Heiko Spitzack, Erik G. Hansen, (2010), "Stakeholder governance: how stakeholders influence corporate decision making", Corporate Governance: The international journal of business in society, Vol. 10 Iss 4 pp. 378-391 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/14720701011069623>

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by 540409 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.



Towards building project-stakeholder commitment

Case study – citizenship projects in Uganda

Project-
stakeholder
commitment

233

Sudi Nangoli

*Department of Business Administration, Makerere University Business School,
Kampala, Uganda*

Sheila Namagembe

*Department of Procurement and Logistics Management,
Makerere University Business School, Kampala, Uganda*

Joseph M. Ntayi

*Department of Management Science, Makerere University Business School,
Kampala, Uganda, and*

Muhammad Ngoma

*Faculty of Management and Public Policy, Makerere University Business School,
Kampala, Uganda*

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to examine the effect of project communication on project-stakeholder commitment. Earlier studies have emphasized the significant influence of project-stakeholder commitment to project success; and to date, lack of stakeholder commitment is still listed as a key cause of project failure. In an effort to improve project stakeholder commitment, the paper investigated project communication as a key antecedent of project-stakeholder commitment.

Design/methodology/approach – The study adopted a cross sectional study design and results were drawn from a sample of 92 citizenship projects conducted by 16 commercial banks in Uganda. Data collection was based on a specific type of project in order to obtain context-specific responses.

Findings – The results obtained after running a hierarchical regression indicated that intra-project communication and extra-project communication had a positive combined predictive potential of project-stakeholder commitment with a Beta coefficient of 0.54. It was recommended that project management should create an atmosphere for effective project communication as one of the primary means of ensuring commitment of various project-stakeholder commitment.

Originality/value – This is the first paper to document the effect of project communication on stakeholder commitment in Uganda. Many people in projects in Uganda have lacked commitment to projects due to inadequate information, attributable to gaps in the communication system. The results indicate that project communication has implications for project commitment.

Keywords Uganda, Project management, Stakeholders, Citizenship, Project communication, Project-stakeholder commitment

Paper type Case study

Introduction

Regardless of a project's nature, kind and envisaged goal, the practice of ensuring project-stakeholder commitment is a key antecedent of any project's success (Scott,

The authors would like to extend their appreciation to Makerere University Business School that partly funded this study and its leadership – in particular, the MUBS Principal, Professor Balunywa Wasswa (Phd).



2007; Sanchez and Brock, 1996). It follows that a project's failure to be completed on schedule, and meet expected quality within the envisaged scope (Sausser *et al.*, 2009; Howell *et al.*, 2010), will be highly influenced by the commitment of its stakeholders. Of late, the use of the word "project-stakeholder," to describe specifically those persons who affect or are affected by project undertakings is gaining prominence (see e.g. Jan *et al.*, 2008). Project stakeholders include among others the project manager, project beneficiaries and project staff. Although the interest and power of various project stakeholders vary from project to project, the project client, project manager and the project team are arguably some of the key stakeholders across all projects (PMI, 2008). And as such, any efforts made toward boosting the enthusiasm of project management and project staff is a significant stride toward building the overall commitment of project stakeholders. Scott (2007) argues that clarity of project information fosters commitment among stakeholders. According to Baker (2007), over 95 percent of project failures could be attributed to ineffective project communication. Whenever information is not clearly communicated, stakeholders fail to commit to such information. Some commercial banks staff in Uganda showed less commitment to citizenship projects as a result of having insufficient knowledge about what is expected of them by the project managers (Nangoli, 2010). Ireland (2008) also contends that project team members who promise to perform tasks without committing to clear completion deadlines, often cause confusion as to which tasks would be completed in time for follow-on work. Such confusion could be attributed to ineffective project communication (Ramsing, 2009; Ruuska, 1996). This forces rescheduling of project work and has the potential to negatively impact on commitment of project stakeholders (Gakovic and Tetrick, 2003; Conway and Briner, 2002). Research by Wateridge (1999), indicated that less attention has been paid to soft factors like communication despite the significant impact that they have on project undertakings like ensuring stakeholder commitment (see also Crawford and Pollack, 2004, p. 645). The purpose of this study is to find out the extent to which project communication explains project-stakeholder commitment. The rest of this paper contains a review of literature on the conceptualized variables, research design, and the discussion of findings and concludes with implications, limitations and areas for future research.

Literature review

Weaver (2007) contends that project communication has of late gained prominence as one of the inputs to project success alongside Barnes's (1969) "iron triangle" of time, cost and scope. Effective project communication improves the commitment of the project team to performing project activities (Ireland, 2008). Project communication is studied as extra-project communication (external project communication) and intra-project communication (Lievens and Moenaert, 2000). These entail items like appropriateness of information in terms of its quantity, timing, frequency, medium and information quality (Kerzner, 1998). Ramsing (2009) and Baker (2007) contend that the commitment of staff to the performance of project tasks is influenced by the way project managers sway them through verbal, non-verbal and/or written forms of communication. A study by Lei and Skitmore (2004), found out that the most valued skill for project managers is the communication skill. This skill helps managers to communicate in a manner that shapes the attitudes of project stakeholders (Van Vuuren *et al.*, 2006). Effective project communication also makes workers feel that they are cared for and thereby boosts their commitment to undertake tasks (Yammarino and Naughton, 1988; Eisenberger *et al.*, 1990). Parkin (2007) argues that persuasive

means of communication potentially generate high levels of commitment. Such commitment could be expressed in an affective, continuance and/or normative perspective (Meyer and Allen, 1997). This research conceptualizes affective project-stakeholder commitment as the project stakeholder's positive emotional attachment to a project; continuance commitment as the project stakeholders commitment to the project because he/she perceives high costs of losing project membership and normative commitment as the project stakeholder's commitment to the project by virtue of feelings of obligation. Nangoli (2010) avers that effective project communication creates a feeling of responsibility and attachment between a stakeholder and the project tasks that makes one indebted to the project thereby creating an atmosphere for individual team members to act without much control and coercion. This may include acts of taking extra care when executing project tasks without being instructed to do so by the project manager. It can therefore be hypothesized that project communication has a positive impact on project-stakeholder commitment.

Methodology

Research design and setting

The research used a quantitative, cross-sectional survey design for data collection. The study population comprised 121 citizenship projects conducted by 16 commercial banks in Uganda (Bank of Uganda, 2009/2010). In line with Smyth and Morris (2007), data collection were based on a specific type of projects in order to obtain context-specific responses. This usually increases relevancy and applicability of results. The list of projects was captured from the sustainability review reports and through interviewing corporate affairs managers/project champions as information about newly commissioned projects was not contained in reports (Goodman, 2000). The unit of analysis comprised the citizenship projects. Simple random sampling method was used. The (121) citizenship projects were written down on small papers and mixed in a box and then (92) of them were randomly picked in accordance with Krejcie and Morgan (1970). This method of sampling gave equal chance to each project in the sampling frame that was chosen. The unit of inquiry comprised the corporate affairs managers and those employees who were/had ever taken part in the sampled projects. From each selected bank, three project managers, two of whom were from any two conveniently selected branches of the bank and one from the bank's head office were sampled. Five employees from each of the bank branches were purposively targeted (Patton, 1990) which added up to a total of 208 target respondents. The inclusion and exclusion criteria was that where a person was picked and found not to have participated in the selected projects, he/she was discarded and replaced with the next convenient person. The responses returned were 77 percent of what was targeted.

Data sources, data collection instrument and data measures

Primary data were sourced from respondents and used in the study because it gives the original perceptions of stakeholders. Since different stakeholders on a project perceive the performance of the project differently, the researcher collected views from the bank project managers, the project team. On the assumption that bank employees are knowledgeable enough to answer questionnaires, yet are the ones who finance and spearhead the execution of tasks, the researcher used their views as a representative sample of stakeholders. Primary data were captured through administering questionnaires. These enabled the respondents to read and

understand the questions before responding and were used to investigate feelings using the Likert scale. The questionnaires contained structured questions. The respondents answered on the basis of how they agreed or disagreed with the statements in the questionnaire. Project communication was measured using an abridged version of The Communication Satisfaction Questionnaire, developed by Downs and Hazen (1977) and Goldhaber' (1979) Communication Audit Survey (CAS) questionnaire. The CAS is being used by many researchers today (e.g. Carrière and Bourque, 2009; Madlock, 2008). Project-stakeholder commitment was measured using an abridged version of the employee Organizational Commitment Questionnaire, as developed by Meyer and Allen (1997), was used to assess the commitment of individual members on the project teams because it specifies a clear delineation among the types of organizational commitment.

Validity, reliability and multicollinearity

The researchers pre-tested the data collection instrument among a section of the intended respondents. Inappropriate questions were revised. The content validity index and the Cronbach's α value were used to measure the validity and reliability of the instrument, respectively.

The reliability analysis was conducted by calculating the Cronbach's α coefficient for each construct. The results showed that the Cronbach's α measures for all the constructs well exceeded the recommended critical point of 0.70 (Hair *et al.*, 2009), indicating good internal-consistency reliability. The variance inflation factor (VIF) and the tolerance levels were run to test for multicolliniarity. The VIF was <4 indicating that multicollinearity in this study was not a problem (Garson, 2010) and as such the interpretations of the β weights and R^2 were reliable (Table I).

Results and discussion of findings

Sample characteristics of respondents and respondent firms

Of the 161 respondents, 16 were diploma graduates, 114 were degree graduates, 25 had a professional qualifications and only six had masters. Totally 109 of the respondents were working with banks of a foreign origin while 52 of them were employed in banks of a local origin; 60 of the respondents had a less than three years tenure, 88 had stayed for three to six years, ten had stayed for seven to ten years while three had stayed for more than ten years; 78 of the respondents were male while 83 were female; 75 were single, 81 were married, three were divorced and one fell under others; six were below the age of 20 years, 118 were between 20 and 30 years of age, 36 were between 31 and 40 years of age while one was above 40 years of age.

On the side of the number of projects, 13 of the projects came from Tropical Bank, 14 from Stanbic Bank, 30 from Post Bank, three from Orient Bank. National Bank of Commerce had two, three from Housing Finance, seven from Diamond Trust Bank, DFCU Bank ten, two from Crane Bank, three from Citi Bank, one from CERUDEB,

Variable	Anchor	Cronbach α value	Content validity index
Project communication	5 point	0.832	0.800
Project-stakeholder commitment	5 point	0.867	0.889

Table I.
Cronbach's α values and content validity indices

Source: Primary data

four from Centenary, four from Cairo International Bank, one from Baroda, 27 from Barclays while six were from Bank of Africa (Table II).

All respondents from these projects were undecided on extra-project communication (mean = 3.7) and project commitment (mean = 3.8) while they were all decided on intra-project commitment. (mean = 4.0). This because the means of the variables for extra-project commitment and project communication were below 4 on the item scale. The study revealed a significant positive relationship between individual intra-project communication and project commitment ($r = 0.860$, $p < 0.01$) and significant positive relationship between extra-project communication and project commitment ($r = 0.835$, $p < 0.01$). Findings on intra-project communication and project commitment showed that the intra-project communication indicates the level of communication that takes place in projects. This is supported by Lew (2008) who asserts that in order to have employees committed to the project work, the project manager has to avail all the important information on the assignments of the project and what the project is all about. Findings on extra-project communication and project commitment showed that clients for the projects need to be aware of what is taking place in projects order not to be left behind and demotivated. So project managers have to ensure that they communicate the project information that is required at the different stages of the project inform of reports to these clients. This reduces resistances and stoppage in the provision of resources by the clients. This is supported by Ruuska (1996) who asserts that clients will be committed to provide more support to projects as long as the project communication environment is favorable and the project manager provides the relevant information to the project clients (Table III).

Hierarchical analysis explains the extent to which the independent variables predict project-stakeholder commitment. In Model 1 intra-project communication was introduced which predicted 86 percent of the variable in project commitment. When the second model was run both intra-project communication and extra-project

	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Tenure (1)	1.7	0.7	1									
Gender (2)	1.5	0.7	0.15	1								
Marital status (3)	1.5	0.6	0.46	0.15	1							
Age (4)	2.2	0.6	0.53**	0.11	0.377**	1						
Highest level of education (5)	2.1	0.5	0.27**	-0.08	0.322**	0.238**	1					
Origin of the bank (6)	1.3	0.6	0.26**	-0.13	0.278**	-0.32	-0.07	1				
Market share (7)	2.4	0.5	0.1	-0.14	-0.1	-0.07	-0.02	0.220**	1			
Intra-project communication (8)	4	2.6	-0.08	0.12	-0.04	0.02	-0.13	0.146	0.087	1		
Extra-project communication (9)	3.7	0	-0.07	0.04	-0.04	0.04	-0.152	0.109	0.071	0.693**	1	
Project commitment (10)	3.8	0.7	-0.12	0.12	-0.08	0.01	-0.15	0.142	0.063	0.860**	0.835**	1

Note: **Correlation significant at 0.001 level (two-tailed test)

Source: Primary data

Table II.
Zero-order correlation

Table III.
Hierarchical regression
analysis with project
communication as the
dependent variable

	Model 1	SE	Model 2	SE	Collinearity	
					Tolerance	VIF
Constant	0.74	0.15	0.19	0.13	na	na
Intra-project communication	0.86**	0.04	0.54**	0.04	0.5	1.9
Extra-project communication			0.46	0.42	0.5	1.9
R	0.86		0.92		na	na
R^2	0.74		0.85		na	na
Adjusted R^2	0.74		0.85		na	na
F -statistics	450.79		445.34		na	na
Significance	0		0		na	na
R^2 change	0.74		0.11		na	na
F -change statistics	450.79		115.44		na	na
Significance F change	0		0		na	na

Notes: $n = 161$. Standardized coefficients are reported. **Regression is significant at 0.01 level
Source: Primary data

communication were significant predictors of project commitment with a prediction potential of 92 percent. The R^2 change was 73 percent and the F -change statistics was significant (F -statistic = 450.79). When extra-project communication was introduced, the β coefficient for intra-project communication dropped from 0.86 to 0.54. The β coefficient for extra-project communication construct was $\beta = 0.46$. This was in line with the research hypothesis. There were no issues of multicollinearity because the tolerance factors were above 0.10 and the VIF were < 5.0 . A tolerance of < 0.20 or 0.10 and/or a VIF of 5 or 10 and above indicates a multicollinearity problem (O'Brien, 2007). The research results are in agreement with those of Yammarino and Naughton (1988) who found out that a positive relationship exists between amount of time spent communicating and the level of effort expended by each project team member on execution of tasks. The findings are also consistent with Ntayi *et al.* (2010) finding that workers with positive attitude about the tasks carry out certain role behaviors well beyond the basic minimum levels required of them.

Discussions

A positive and significant relationship between project communication and project-stakeholder commitment was revealed by the results in Table II. These results support the hypothesis that project communication and project-stakeholder commitment are positively related. The results confirm Ng *et al.* (2006), Varona (1996), Yammarino and Naughton's (1988) studies which demonstrated that a positive relationship exists between amount of time spent communicating and the level of effort expended by individuals on execution of tasks. As such, the results may imply that were project supervisors spare time to listen to project stakeholders like the project team, they are likely to exert extra efforts on performing citizenship activities. The results also indicated that communication in citizenship projects is largely shaped by the preferences of the communities for which they are intended. They showed that most of the communities feel that they are cared for and are like part of the family of the citizenship projects' implementation team. These results are in agreement with those of Eisenberger *et al.* (1990), who argued that when individuals recognize that they are cared for, they become more committed and conscious about their responsibilities,

they take greater involvement in the executing tasks, and are more innovative. However, caution has to be taken by management since studies by Schein (1980), Conway and Briner (2002) indicate that this atmosphere of reciprocity can only be sustained if the project management continues to observe their part of the formal or rather informal bargain. The same advice was re-echoed by Gakovic and Tetrick (2003) who argued that as long as a project delivers as expected, its stakeholders remain committed to the project's values.

Implications for practice and research

This study focussed on the impact of project communication on project-stakeholder commitment. Although there is growing research on aspects of project management, the few existing studies that tend to examine these two constructs are largely anecdotal (see e.g. Ireland, 2008). Our study therefore provides an empirical basis for future researchers. This study also makes efforts to popularize citizenship projects in the project management profession which formally were solely studied under the marketing profession. Such a developed can enable data mining by innovative firms. For project practitioners, the study implies that project-stakeholders should be cautious about sending unclear information to others as this will reduce their commitment. It also implies that the onus is on key project stakeholders like the project managers to ensure efficient project communication since project team members cannot be committed to the projects unless they have clear project goals.

Limitations of the study and areas for further research

The results could have limited applicability since the data were collected from a single kind of projects. It is probable that the results may not hold for another kind of project like software projects, construction projects and engineering projects among others. The study was also cross-sectional and responses were vulnerable to mood swings of the respondents among other limitations inherent in cross-sectional results.

References

- Baker, B. (2007), "Definition impossible", *PM Network*, Vol. 21 No. 6, p. 25.
- Bank of Uganda (2009/2010), annual report, Bank of Uganda, Kampala.
- Carrière, J. and Bourque, C. (2009), "The effects of organizational communication on job satisfaction and organizational commitment in a land ambulance service and the mediating role of communication satisfaction", *Career Development International*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 29-49.
- Conway, N. and Briner, R.B. (2002), "A daily diary study of affective responses to psychological contract breach and exceeded promises", *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 23, pp. 287-302.
- Crawford, L. and Pollack, J. (2004), "Hard and soft projects: a framework for analysis", *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 22 No. 8, pp. 645-53.
- Downs, C. and Hazen, M.D. (1977), "A factor analytic study of communication satisfaction", *Journal of Business Communication*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 63-73.
- Eisenberger, R., Fasolo, P. and Davis-LaMastro, V. (1990), "Perceived organizational support and employee diligence, commitment and innovation", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 75 No. 1, pp. 51-9.
- Gakovic, A. and Tetrick, L.E. (2003), "Psychological contract breach as a source of strain for employees", *Journal of Business and Psychology*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 235-46.

- Garson, D. (2010), "Logistic regression: footnotes, from North Carolina State University", available at: <http://faculty.chass.ncsu.edu/garson/PA765/logistic.htm> (accessed September 2010).
- Goodman, M.B. (2000), "Corporate communication: the American picture", available at: www.emerald-library.com
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J. and Anderson, R.E. (2009), *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 7th ed, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Howell, D., Windahl, C. and Seidel, R. (2010), "A project contingency framework based on uncertainty and its consequences", *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 256-64.
- Ireland, L. (2008), "Communications in projects and commitment", available at: www.asapm.org
- Jan, T.K., Ketil, G. and Mona, J.M. (2008), "Building trust in project-stakeholder relationships", *Baltic Journal of Management*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 7-22.
- Kerzner, H. (1998), *Project Management – A systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling*, 6th ed., Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, NY.
- Krejcie, R.V. and Morgan, D.W. (1970), "Determining sample size for research activities", *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, Vol. 30, pp. 607-10.
- Lei, W.W.S. and Skitmore, M. (2004), "Project management competencies: a survey of perspectives from project managers in South East Queensland", *Journal of Building and Construction Management*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 1-12.
- Lew, I. (2008), "Communications in projects and commitment", available at: www.asapm.org
- Lievens, A. and Moenaert, R.K. (2000), "Communication flows during financial service innovation", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 34 Nos 9/10, pp. 1078-110.
- Madlock, P.E. (2008), "The link between leadership style, communicator competence, and employee satisfaction", *Journal of Business Communication*, Vol. 45 No. 1, pp. 61-78.
- Meyer, J.P. and Allen, N.J. (1997), *Commitment in the Workplace: Theory, Research, and Application*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Nangoli, S. (2010), "Project communication, individual commitment, social networks and perceived project performance", unpublished Master thesis report, Graduate Research Centre, Makerere University Business School, Kampala.
- Ng, T.W.H., Butts, M.M., Vandenberg, R.J., DeJoy, D.M. and Wilson, M.G. (2006), "Effects of management communication, opportunity for learning, and work schedule flexibility on organizational commitment", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol. 68 No. 3, pp. 474-89.
- Ntayi, J.M., Rooks, G., Eyaa, S. and Qian, C. (2010), "Perceived project value, opportunistic behaviour, interorganisational cooperation, and contractor performance", *Journal of African Business*, Vol. 11, p. 124-41.
- O'Brien, J.A. and Marakas, G.M. (2007), *Introduction to Information Systems*, McGrawHill, New York, NY.
- Parkin, J. (2007), "Organizational decision making and the project manager", *International Journal of Project Management Institute*, Vol. 14 No. 5, pp. 257-63.
- Patton, M.Q. (1990), *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods*, Sage, Newbury Park, London and New Delhi.
- PMI (2008), *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide)*, 4th ed., Project Management Institute, available at: www.pmi.org/en/PMBOK-Guide-and-Standards/Standards-Library-of-PMI-Global-Standards.aspx
- Ramsing, L. (2009), "Project communication in a strategic internal perspective", *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 345-57.

- Ruuska, K. (1996), *Project Communication*, "IPMA 96" World Congress on Project Management, Paris, pp. 67-76.
- Sanchez, J.I. and Brock, P. (1996), "Outcomes of perceived discrimination among Hispanic employees: is diversity management a luxury or a necessity?", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 39 No. 3, pp. 704-19.
- Sausser, B.J., Reilly, R.R. and Shenhar, A.J. (2009), "Why projects fail? How contingency theory can provide new insights – a comparative analysis of NASA's mars climate orbiter loss", *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 27 No. 7, pp. 665-79.
- Schein, E.H. (1980), *Organizational Psychology*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Scott, S. (2007), "Corporate social responsibility and the fetter of profitability", *Social Responsibility Journal*, Vol. 3 No. 4, pp. 31-9.
- Smyth, H.J. and Morris, P.W.G. (2007), "An epistemological evaluation of research into projects and their management: methodological issues", Vol. 25, pp. 423-36.
- van Vuuren, M., de Jong, M. and Seydel, E.R. (2006), "Direct and indirect effects of supervisor communication on organisational commitment", *Corporate Communications*, Vol. 12 No. 2.
- Varona, F. (1996), "Relationship between communication satisfaction and organizational commitment in three Guatemalan organizations", *The Journal of Business Communication*, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 111-40.
- Wateridge, J. (1999), "The role of configuration management in the development and management of Information Systems/Technology (IS/IT) projects", *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 4, pp. 237-41.
- Weaver, P. (2007), "The origins of modern project management", presented at Fourth Annual PMI College of Scheduling Conference, Marriott Pinnacle Downtown, Vancouver, April 15-18.
- Yammarino, F.J. and Naughton, T.J. (1988), "Time spent communicating: a multiple levels of analysis approach", *Human Relations*, Vol. 41 No. 9, pp. 655-76.

Further reading

- Addae, H.M., Parboteeah, K.P. and Davis, E.E. (2006), "Organizational commitment and intentions to quit", *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 225-38.
- Anderson, J.C. and Gerbing, D.W. (1988), "Structural equation modelling in practice: a review and recommended two-step approach", *Psychol. Bull.*, Vol. 103 No. 3, pp. 411-23.
- Barclay's Bank – Uganda (2007), Sustainability review report, Barclay's Bank, Kampala.
- Bentler, P.M. (1990), "Comparative fit indexes in structural models", *Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 107 No. 2, pp. 238-46.
- Bitature, P. (2010), "CSR in Ugandan firms", *The New Vision Gazette*, October 22, p. 21.
- Boddy, D. (2002), *Managing Projects – Building and Leading the Team*, Financial Times/Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Bushuyu (2006), "Social networking, commitment and organizational effectiveness", unpublished Master's of Business Administration degree thesis, Makerere University, Kampala.
- Byrne, B.N. (2001), *Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS: Basic Concepts, Applications and Programming*, Lawrence Erlbaum, Rahwah, NJ.
- Chulkov, D.V. and Desai, M.S. (2005), "Information technology project failures. Applying the bandit problem to evaluate managerial decision making", *Information Management & Computer Security*, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 135-43.
- Cockburn, A. and Highsmith, J. (2001), "Agile software development: the people factor", *Computer*, Vol. 34 No. 11, pp. 131-3.

- Coleman, J.S. (1990), *Foundations of Social Theory*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Cooke-Davies, T. (2002), "The 'Real' success factors on projects", *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 185-90.
- Corporate Watch (2006), "What's wrong with corporate social responsibility?", *Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 37-53.
- Dickerson, C.A., Thibodeau, E.A. and Miller, D. (1992), "Using cognitive dissonance to encourage water conservation", *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, Vol. 22 No. 11, pp. 841-54.
- Downes, S. (2005), "Semantic networks and social networks", *The Learning Organization*, Vol. 12 No. 5, pp. 11-417.
- Drucker, P.F. (1993), *Post-Capitalist Society*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford.
- Feely, A.J. and Harzing, A.-W. (2003), "Language management in multinational companies", *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 37-53.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 39-50.
- Fowler, S., Dawes, C. and Christakis, N. (2009), "Do I have to learn Klingon? Closing the communication gap with your technical team", *Project Management Journal*, Project Management Institute (PMI), Global Congress Publication.
- Goczol, J. and Scoubeau, C. (2003), "Corporate communication and strategy in the field of projects", *Corporate Communications*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 60-6.
- Granovetter, M. (1983), "The strength of weak ties: a network theory revisited", *Sociological Theory*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 201-33.
- Granovetter, M. (1985), "Economic action and social structure: the problem of embeddedness", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 91 No. 3, pp. 481-510.
- Gray, M., Kurihara, T., Hommen, L. and Feldman, J. (2007), "Networks of exclusion: job segmentation and social networks in the knowledge economy", *Equal Opportunities International*, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 144-61.
- Heath, R.L. and Bryant, J. (2000), *Human Communication Theory and Research. Concept, Context and Challenges*, Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ.
- Herkt, M. (2007), "What is in it for us?", *PM Network*, Vol. 21 No. 3.
- Hogg, T. and Adamic, L. (2004), "Enhancing reputation mechanisms via online social networks", Proceedings of the 5th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, EC'04, ACM Press, New York, NY.
- Hong, P., Nahm, Y.A. and Doll, W.J. (2004), "The role of project target clarity in an uncertain project environment", *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, Vol. 24 No. 12, pp. 1269-91.
- Hopkins, M. (2007), *Corporate Social Responsibility & International Development*, Earthscan, London.
- Hoskins, T. (2005), *The ICSA Corporate Social Responsibility Handbook: Marking CSR Work for Business*, ICSA Publishing, London.
- Ika, L. (2009), "Project success as a topic in project management journals", *Project Management Journal*, Vol. 40 No. 4, pp. 6-19.
- Jones, I.W., Pollitt, M.G. and Bek, D. (2007), *Multinationals in their Communities: A Social Capital Approach to Corporate Citizenship Projects*, Palgrave, Basingstoke.
- Joreskog, K.G. and Sorbom, D. (1989), *LISREL 7: A Guide to the Program and Applications*, 2nd ed., SPSS, Chicago, IL.

- Joreskog, K.G. and Sorbom, D. (1989), "LISREL 7: a guide to the program and applications", 2nd ed., *Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and Information Sciences*, Vol. 40 No. 4, pp. 6-19.
- Jugdvev, K. and Muller, R. (2005), "A retrospective look at our understanding of project success", *Project Management Journal*, Vol. 36 No. 4, pp. 19-31.
- Jung, Y.J., Wang, J.W. and Wu, S. (2008), "Competitive strategy, TQM practice, and continuous improvement of international project management: a contingency study", *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 161-83.
- Karlsen, J.T., Graee, K. and Massaoud, M.J. (2008), "The role of trust in project-stakeholder relationships: a study of a construction projects", *International Journal of Project Organisation and Management*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 105-18.
- Kearns, G.S. and Sabherwal, R. (2007), "Antecedents and consequences of information systems planning integration", *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 54 No. 4, pp. 628-43.
- Lester, A. (2007), *Project Management – Planning and Control*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Burlington.
- Lipovetsky, S., Tishler, A., Dvir, D. and Shenhar, A. (1997), "The relative importance of project success dimensions", *R&D Management*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 97-106.
- McDonald, M.L. and Rundle-Thiele, S. (2008), "Corporate social responsibility and bank customer satisfaction", *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp. 170-82.
- Meredith, J.R. and Mantel, S.J. (2003), *Project Management: A Managerial Approach*, John, New York, NY.
- Mintzberg, H. (1973), *The Nature of Managerial Work*, Harper and Row, New York, NY.
- Ofori, D.F. and Hinson, R.E. (2007), "Corporate social responsibility (CSR) perspectives of leading firms in Ghana", *The journal of corporate governance – brad ford*, Vol. 7, pp. 178-93.
- Oliver, R.L. (1980), "A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 460-9.
- Pinto, J.K. and Slevin, D.P. (1998), "Project success: definitions and measurement techniques", *Project Management Journal*, Project Management Institute, Upper Darby, PA, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 67-75.
- Podolny, J.M. (2000), *Networks as the Pipes and Prisms of the Market*, Graduate School of Business, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Podsakoff, P.M., Mackenzie, S.B., Lee, J. and Podsakoff, N.P. (2003), "Common methods biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies", *Journal of applied Psychology*, Vol. 88 No. 5, pp. 879-903.
- Ramirez, T.M. (2002), "'Measuring Project Performance' you can't manage what you don't measure, PowerPoint presentation, PMP.
- Rasberry, R.W. and Lemoine, L.F. (1986), *Effective managerial Communication*, PWS-KENT Publishing Company, Boston, MA.
- Ray, C. (2000), *Culture Economies*, Centre for Rural Economy, University of Newcastle, Newcastle.
- Rigdon, E.E. (1996), "CFI versus RMSEA: a comparison of two fit indices for structural equation modeling", *Structural Equation Modeling*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 369-79.
- Rosenthal, E. (2007), "Social networks and team performance", *Team Performance Management Journal*, Vol. 3 No. 4, pp. 288-94.
- Shenhar, A.J., Tishler, A., Dvir, D., Lipovetsky, S. and Lechler, T. (2002), "Refining the search for project success factors: a multivariate, typological approach", *R&D Management*, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 111-26.

- Stanbic Bank Uganda (2009), annual report, Stanbic Bank, Kampala.
- Sugarman, B. (2010), "Magic from social networks that talk to management: four cases", *The Learning Organization*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 288-302.
- Tracy, N. and Walker, D.H.T. (2008), "A study of project management leadership styles across life cycle stages of an IT project in Hong Kong", *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, Vol. 1 No. 3, pp. 404-27.
- Van Donk, D.P. and Molloy, E. (2008), "From organising as projects to projects as organizations", *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 129-37.
- van Emmeren, F.H., Grootendorst, R. and Snoeck Henkemans, F. *et al* (1996), *Fundamentals of Argumentation Theory. A Handbook of Historical Backgrounds and Contemporary Developments*, Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ.
- Van Laere, K. and Heene, A. (2003), "Social networks as a source of competitive advantage for the firm", *Journal of Workplace Learning*, Vol. 15 No. 6, pp. 248-58.
- Van Riel, C. (1995), *Principles of Corporate Communication*, Prentice Hall, Harlow.
- Whiteley, A., McCabe, M. and Savery, L. (1998), "Trust and communication development needs an Australian waterfront study", *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 17 No. 6, pp. 432-46.
- Zachary, O. (2005), "Standard chartered bank's unique approach to CSR", News from Africa, January 28, 2010.
- Zhang, X. (2007), "Effects of project communication on project performance", Master degree thesis, dissertation thesis, professional management science and engineering unit of Sichuan University, Sichuan University, available at: www.lw23.com/lunwen_895643392/
- Zhong, Y. and Low, S.P. (2009), "Managing crisis response communication in construction projects – from a complexity perspective", *Disaster Prevention and Management*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 270-82.

About the authors

Sudi Nangoli is a Lecturer in the Department of Business Administration at Makerere University Business School. He holds a Master of Business Administration (Project Management) and a Bachelor of Business Administration (Accounting) and ACCA (UK). He has over six years' experience in teaching at the university. His teaching and research interests are in project management, strategic management, business administration and auditing. Sudi Nangoli is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: nangolisudi@yahoo.com or snangoli@mubs.ac.ug

Sheila Namagembe holds a Bachelor of Business Administration (Procurement Major), a Master of Science in Procurement and Supply Chain Management and is a member of the Chartered Institute of Purchasing and Supply. She is a Lecturer at Makerere University Business School and her teaching and research interests are in ethics, supply chain management, public procurement, negotiation, contract management, public procurement and project management.

Joseph M. Ntayi, PhD, is a Senior Lecturer and Dean, Faculty of Computing and Management Science, Makerere University Business School (Uganda). His teaching and research interests are in project management, public procurement, managing contracts, business ethics, industrial marketing, purchasing and supply chain management. He is a public procurement and marketing consultant, a certified WTO/ITC trainer in Textiles and Clothing and former Project Manager for the Netherlands Programme for the Institutional Strengthening of Post-secondary Education and Training Capacity (NPT/UGA/040) – support for institutional Capacity Development in Public and Private Procurement Management in Uganda; Director, Uganda

National Marketers Forum – Uganda; Chair and Coordinator for Makerere University Business School case writing committee.

Muhammad Ngoma is the Dean, Faculty of Management and Public Policy at Makerere University Business School. He holds a PhD (Marketing), a Master of Business Administration (Marketing) and a Bachelor of Commerce (Marketing). He has over 15 years' experience in teaching at the university and his teaching and research interests are in project management, marketing, supply chain management and international business.

Project-
stakeholder
commitment

245

This article has been cited by:

1. Sudi Nangoli, Arthur Ahimbisibwe, Sheila Namagembe, Hassan Bashir. 2013. Social networks: a strategy for enhancing project-stakeholder commitment. *Journal of Strategy and Management* 6:4, 399-410. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)